

**OFFICE OF CHARTER SCHOOLS**



**OAKLAND UNIFIED  
SCHOOL DISTRICT**

*Community Schools, Thriving Students*

[Send No Later Than]  
December 1, 2016

David Hardin  
Aurum Preparatory Academy  
600 William Street, Apt. 133  
Oakland, CA 94612

Re: Proposition 39 Facilities Request for 2017-2018  
Response to Charter School's Enrollment Projections

Dear Mr. Hardin:

The Oakland Unified School District ("District") responds to the November 1, 2016, Request for Facilities of Aurum Preparatory Academy ("Charter School") for the 2017-2018 school year pursuant to 5 CCR § 11969.9(d).

**INTRODUCTION**

The regulations implementing Proposition 39, specifically, Cal. Admin.Code, title 5, §11969.9(d), permit a school district to assess the projections upon which a charter school's facilities request is based, express objections to those projections, and issue a counterprojection:

The school district shall review the charter school's projections of in-district and total ADA and in-district and total classroom ADA and, on or before December 1, express any objections in writing and state the projections the district considers reasonable. If the district does not express objections in writing and state its own projections by the deadline, the charter school's projections are no longer subject to challenge, and the school district shall base its offer of facilities on those projections.

In conducting its evaluation, the District assesses whether the charter school's Request for Facilities meets the requirements contained in the regulations governing such a request. Cal. Admin. Code, title 5, § 11969.9(c)(1) provides as follows:

The written facilities request consists of:

(A) reasonable projections of in-district and total ADA and in-district and

total classroom ADA, based on ADA claimed for apportionment, if any, in the fiscal year prior to the fiscal year in which the facilities request is made, adjusted for expected changes in enrollment in the forthcoming fiscal year;

(B) a description of the methodology for the projections;

(C) if relevant (i.e., when a charter school is not yet open or to the extent an operating charter school projects a substantial increase in in-district ADA), documentation of the number of in-district students meaningfully interested in attending the charter school that is sufficient for the district to determine the reasonableness of the projection, but that need not be verifiable for precise arithmetical accuracy;

(D) the charter school's operational calendar;

(E) information regarding the district school site and/or general geographic area in which the charter school wishes to locate; and

(F) information on the charter school's educational program, if any, that is relevant to assignment of facilities.

(2) Projections of in-district ADA, in-district classroom ADA, and the number of in-district students shall be broken down by grade level and by the school in the school district that the student would otherwise attend...

The District objects to the charter school's projections as set forth below.

### **REVIEW OF CHARTER SCHOOL'S ADA PROJECTIONS:**

Proposition 39 only obligates a school district to offer facilities commensurate with a charter school's in-District population. 5 C.C.R. § 11969.2 defines an in-District student as:

[A] student attending a charter school is an "in-district student" of a school district if he or she is entitled to attend the schools of the school district and could attend a school district-operated school, except that a student eligible to attend the schools of the school district based on inter-district

attendance pursuant to Education Code section 46600-46611 or based on parental employment pursuant to Education Code section 48204(b) shall be considered a student of the school district where he or she resides.

Therefore, the District will only evaluate the Charter School's in-District Classroom ADA projections.

The Charter School's projected in-District Classroom ADA projection for the following school year is as follows:

**Total In-District Classroom ADA**

<b>Grade Level</b>	<b>Annual ADA 2015-2016</b>	<b>ADA 2016-2017</b>	<b>ADA Projection for 2017-2018</b>
6	N/A	N/A	125.40
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>125.40</b>

**OUSD'S EVALUATION OF CHARTER SCHOOL'S ADA PROJECTIONS:**

Cal. Admin. Code, title 5, § 11969.9(c)(1)(A) provides that the documentation provided in support of a facilities request must be reasonably sufficient to allow the District to determine the reasonableness of the Charter School's projections:

The written facilities request consists of ...  
... if relevant (i.e., when a charter school is not yet open or to the extent an operating charter school projects a substantial increase in in-district ADA), documentation of the number of in-district students meaningfully interested in attending the charter school that is sufficient for the district to determine the reasonableness of the projection, but that need not be verifiable for precise arithmetical accuracy ...

The Charter School's projection of 125.4 total in-District classroom ADA is not supported by the accompanying documentation. The Meaningfully Interested Students Spreadsheet (Appendix III) contains only 97 students. Of the Meaningfully Interested Students Signature forms, only 58 matched the criteria for the incoming 6th grade class. Of the remaining:

- 23 were invalid (duplicates, in the wrong grade, or didn't live in Oakland)
- 11 included insufficient data to clearly determine 2017-18 grade level

- 5 had addresses that were not found

### **OUSD COUNTER-PROJECTIONS**

The District is exercising its right to provide counterprojections to the Charter School's enrollment projections for 2017-18. Based on the factors discussed above here, the District counterprojects a total of 58 in-District students, based on the number of Meaningfully Interested Student Signature Forms that the District were able to verify for eligibility.

### **CONCLUSION:**

The Proposition 39 regulations provide the District the authority to evaluate the Charter School's ADA projections, and determine whether "documentation of the number of in-district students meaningfully interested in attending the charter school ... is sufficient for the district to determine the reasonableness of the projection." (Cal. Admin. Code, title 5, § 11969.9(c)(1).) Moreover, Cal. Admin. Code, title 5, § 11969.9(d) permits a school district to assess the projections upon which a charter school's facilities request is based and express objections to those projections.

Education Code section 47614(b)(4) states that "[f]acilities requests based upon projections of fewer than 80 units of average daily classroom attendance for the year may be denied by the school district." Based on the Charter School's failure to meet the threshold of 80 ADA, the District has determined that the Charter School will not be eligible for facilities for 2017-2018.

Also, based on Cal. Admin. Code tit. 5, § 11969.9(a), the Charter School will not be eligible to receive facilities in 2017-2018 if it does not obtain approval of its charter petition by March 15, 2017.

Under Cal. Admin. Code tit. 5, § 11969.9(e), the Charter School has until January 2, 2017 to deliver a written response to this letter:

On or before January 2, the charter school shall respond to any objections expressed by the school district and to the district's projections provided pursuant to subdivision (d). The charter school shall reaffirm or modify its previous projections as necessary to respond to the information received from the district pursuant to subdivision (d). If the charter school does not respond by the deadline, the district's projections provided pursuant to

David Hardin  
Aurum Preparatory Academy  
December 1, 2016  
Page 5

subdivision (d) are no longer subject to challenge, and the school district shall base its offer of facilities on those projections.

Please be advised that Cal. Admin. Code tit. 5, § 11969.9(e) does not permit the Charter School to submit any additional documentation in support of its Request, but only to respond to, reaffirm or modify its projection.

In Service,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'S. Bradford', written in a cursive style.

Silke Bradford  
Director of Quality Diverse Providers